

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,767	09/965,767 09/27/2001		Pieter Theunis de Leeuw	9424.161US01	3418
23552	7590	11/12/2004		EXAM	INER
MERCHAN	IT & GC	OULD PC	LEO, LEONARD R		
P.O. BOX 29	03				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1751	

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$I \setminus V$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/965,767	DE LEEUW, PIETER THEUNIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonard R. Leo	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ( Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u> 6 August 2004</u> .					
·	,—					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>	·	• •				
·	si Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.t	5. 11, <del>1</del> 30 G.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>2,4,6,8,9,11,12 and 14-23</u> is/are po 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aror orodion roquirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , ,	t received				
	not of the defined copies no	C COOLIVOU.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	. —	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

The amendment filed on August 16, 2004 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 7, 10 and 13 are cancelled, and claims 2, 4, 6, 8-9, 11-12 and 14-23 are pending.

### Specification

A substitute specification with the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the facsimile is illegible for printing.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: a tube-sided supply *or* discharge is recited in claim 15. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-9, 11-12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (2,110,024) in view of Kipp.

Miller (2,110,024) discloses all the claimed limitations except a plug with a clamping member.

Kipp discloses a plug comprising a body part 1 and clamping member 6 for the purpose of retaining sealing ring 11 on the body part.

Since Miller (2,110,024) and Kipp are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Kipp would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miller (2,110,024).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Miller (2,110,024) a clamping member for the purpose of retaining sealing ring on the body part as recognized by Kipp.

Regarding claims 4, 8, 11, and 14, both Miller (2,110,024) and Kipp disclose threaded bores and plugs.

Regarding claims 17, 19, 21 and 23, Kipp discloses support members 12, 13

Claims 2, 4, 9, 11, 15-17 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (2,608,388) in view of Kipp.

Miller (2,608,388) discloses all the claimed limitations except a plug with a clamping member.

Kipp discloses a plug comprising a body part 1 and clamping member 6 for the purpose of retaining sealing ring 11 on the body part.

Since Miller (2,608,388) and Kipp are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Kipp would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miller (2,608,388).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Miller (2,608,388) a clamping member for the purpose of retaining sealing ring on the body part as recognized by Kipp.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, both Miller (2,608,388) and Kipp disclose threaded bores and plugs.

Regarding claims 17 and 21, Kipp discloses support members 12, 13

## Response to Arguments

The anticipatory rejections in view Gardner, Vollhardt, Miller (2,110,024 and 2,608,388), Dawson and Nickerson are withdrawn.

The amended subject matter of the plugs is met by the secondary reference of Kipp.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/965,767 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is 703-308-2611. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

LEONARD R. LÉO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753